

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,421	07/21/2003	Patrick Timothy Yerby	2003-0071	9177
7:	590 10/20/2006		EXAM	INER
Robert F. Frijouf			NICOLAS, FREDERICK C	
Frijouf, Rust & Pyle, P.A. 201 East Davis Boulevard			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
Tampa, FL 33			3754	
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A 1! 4/->
Office Action Summany	10/625,421	YERBY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frederick C. Nicolas	3754
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 AL</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,18,20-40,48 and 50 5) ☐ Claim(s) 41-47 and 49 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,10,11 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7-9,12-17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction and/or expelication Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access the applicant may not request that any objection to the company of the specification to th	election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the oath or declaration is objected to by the Experimental and the content of the conte	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/12/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te

Application/Control Number: 10/625,421

Art Unit: 3754

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species of Group B, FIGS. 34-54, claims 1-3,4-5,7-17,19,41-47 and 49 in the reply filed on 8/7/2006 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 6,18,20-40,48,50 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Further, claim 6 has been withdrawn and added to the nonelected invention, since claims 6,18 are directed to a nonelected Species A. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 8/7/2006.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears that in claim 9, line 1, "claim 11" should be --claim 1--. For examining purposes, claim 1 will be considered instead of claim 11 as dependency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-5,10-11,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoagland 3,933,283.

Hoagland discloses a locking aerosol dispenser for an aerosol dispensing device having an aerosol valve (14) for controlling the flow of an aerosol product from an aerosol container (12), which comprises an actuator (10) secured to the aerosol valve

Art Unit: 3754

for displacing the aerosol valve from a biased closed position to an open position to discharge the aerosol product (col. 4, II. 9-52), the actuator being rotatable into a first rotational position relative to the aerosol container for enabling the actuator to open the aerosol valve upon movement of the actuator for discharging the aerosol product (col. 5, II. 53-68 onto col. 6, II. 1-29), the actuator being rotatable into a second rotational position relative to the aerosol container for inhibiting the actuator from opening the aerosol valve (col. 6, II. 21-29), a key aperture (54) cooperating with a key (50) for enabling movement of the actuator to open the aerosol valve for discharging the aerosol product, the actuator is integral with an aerosol overcap (30).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 41-47,49 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 7-9,12-17, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kaufman et al. 6,161,736 and Nigro 3,698,604 disclose other types of locking aerosol dispenser.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (571)-272-4931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,421

Art Unit: 3754

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver, can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FΝ

October 10, 2006

Frederick C. Nicola's Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754